UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No.	95-2994	
In Re: TONEY TUCKER ENTERPY t/a Lexington Park Chry Eagle,			
	_		Debtor.
MELDON S. HOLLIS, JR.,			Plaintiff - Appellant,
and			riamerii apperiane,
TONEY TUCKER,			Plaintiff,
versus			ramerr,
CHRYSLER CREDIT CORPORATI	ON,		
			Defendant - Appellee.
	No.	95-3022	
In Re: TONEY TUCKER ENTERPRISES, INCORPORATED, t/a Lexington Park Chrysler Plymouth Jeep Eagle,			
			Debtor.

TONEY TUCKER,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

and

MELDON S. HOLLIS, JR.,

Plaintiff,

versus

CHRYSLER CREDIT CORPORATION,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt. Deborah K. Chasanow, District Judge. (CA-95-2442-DKC, BK-94-1-6858-DK)

Submitted: October 22, 1996 Decided: November 15, 1996

Before MURNAGHAN and WILLIAMS, Circuit Judges, and PHILLIPS, Senior Circuit Judge.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Meldon S. Hollis, Jr., HOLLIS & ASSOCIATES, P.A., Randallstown, Maryland; Elmer Douglass Ellis, Washington, D.C., for Appellants. John Francis Carlton, WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

The Appellants appeal from the district court's order finding them in civil contempt after violating a bankruptcy court order. Our review of the record discloses that this appeal is without merit. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district court. Hollis v. Chrysler Credit Corp., Nos. CA-95-2442-DKC; BK-94-1-6858-DK (D. Md. Oct. 10 & 25, 1995); Tucker v. Chrysler Credit Corp., Nos. CA-95-2442-DKC; BK-94-1-6858-DK (D. Md. Oct. 10 & 19, 1995). Appellee's motion to dismiss both appeals is denied. We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED